



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			CONFIRMATION NO.	
07/29/2003	Tiziano Colla	115-031453	7303	
03/22/2005		EXAMINER		
WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
I, PA 15219		3721		
	0 03/22/2005 NHEIM LOGSDON 0 BUILDING AVENUE	0 03/22/2005 NHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C. BUILDING AVENUE	O 03/22/2005 EXAM NHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C. HARMON, CHE BUILDING AVENUE ART UNIT	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	, \			
•		10/629,473	COLLA, TIZIANO	EV			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher R Harmon	3721				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the plant of the plant is a second of the	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	anuary 200 <u>5</u> .					
2a)□		s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , ,		• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application Inity documents have been receive U (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	tage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-1	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation "first two buffers" (claim 5) is confusing. Furthermore, "the first and second distance" lack antecedent basis (claim 9).

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the two idle mechanisms" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 7-9, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dauer (US 6,601,506).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,473 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

Dauer discloses a method and apparatus for aligning webs comprising first and second webs; first 2 and second 6 transport rollers; optical sensors 17-19, 32-40; control device; first 10, second 75, third 49, and fourth buffers 55; see figure 1. The system is controlled to register webs by using sensors and controlling individual web feeding. The rotary angle of rollers 2 and 6 are monitored and controlled; see column 5, lines 5-15. Drive motors 41, 42 control web feeding or "a separate motor can also be assigned to all the plate cylinders…" column 4, lines 7-8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 6-7, 13, and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rice (US 5,849,123). Rice discloses first 112 and second 110 rollers with upstream sensor 114 and buffer (accumulator not shown). The second sensor 108 is downstream second roller 110 not upstream however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to rearrange the location of known working parts of the invention and place sensor 108 upstream roller 110. Regarding claim 16, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the sensors adjustable.

Art Unit: 3721

6. Claims 1-10, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wild et al. (US 6,267,714) in view of Dauer (US 6,601,506).

Wild discloses a bag producing device comprising first 4 and second 6 webs; first and second transport rollers 29; optical sensors 38, 39; control device 40; first and second buffers 46; see figures 1, 4a, and 4b. The pulling rollers of Wild are not upstream however Dauer teaches pulling rollers monitored and controlled by sensing the rotary angle; buffer downstream; multiple sensors; see above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teachings of Dauer in the invention to Wild in order to control the webs. The speed of rotation of the rollers 29 is monitored and controlled by control device 40.

Wild discloses idler mechanisms 24 upstream buffers 46 (located at 44); supply rollers 22 can be driven.

7. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wild et al. (US 6,267,714) in view of Dauer (US 6,601,506) and further view of Glaser (EP 0400596).

Wild does not disclose a blower nozzle, however Glaser teaches blowing into the feed gap of opposing rollers; see figure 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Glaser in the modified invention to Wild in order to assist in the feeding of webs.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/629,473 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is
 (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch

Rinsidi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700